

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/814,619	03/31/2004	Guillaume Bouche	859063.557	5442		
38106 75	90 06/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6300			AGUIRRECHEA, JAYDI A			
SEATTLE, WA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		•	2834			
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		m
	10/814,619	BOUCHE ET AL.		W
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jaydi A. Aguirrechea	2834		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this coi	: mmunication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	<u>ugust 2004</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	•		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	·		merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			R 1 121(d)	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National S	Stage	,
Attachment(s) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 3-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitamura (US6441539) in view of Peczalski (US 2003/57806).

Kitamura discloses a piezoelectric resonator comprising a piezoelectric layer (25) sandwiched between two electrode layers (26), the resonator being laid on a suspended beam.

However, Kitamura fails to disclose means for deforming the beam by a difference in thermal expansion coefficients.

Peczalski discloses a piezoelectric resonator comprising means for deforming a beam by a difference in thermal expansion coefficients. In paragraph [0016] Peczalski discloses the

Application/Control Number: 10/814,619

Art Unit: 2834

possibility of using one or more heating resistors provided in thermal communication with the resonator.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to use a heating resistor for the purpose of deforming the beam by a difference in thermal expansion.

With regards to claim 2, Kitamura and Peczalski teach the use of layers having different thermal coefficient, therefore, it would have been obvious to use a block instead of a layer for the same purpose.

With regards to claim 4, the electrodes are placed in the beam opposite to other electrodes.

With regards to claim 5, the beam is placed above the cavity formed in the substrate.

With regards to claim 6, the resonators are used in integrated circuits.

With regards to claim 7, the combination of Kitamura and Peczalski discloses the claimed invention as disclosed above.

With regards to claim 8-9, heating resistors are usually made of aluminum, metals or metal oxides, among others. It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

With regards to claim 10, a cavity is formed under the bridge.

With regards to claim 11, Peczalski discloses the layer made of silicon nitride.

With regards to claim 12, Peczalski discloses the thermal responsive material positioned on an upper surface of the bridge.

Art Unit: 2834

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The references of the Prior Art of Record fail to teach or suggest either alone or in obvious combination the heating elements being placed within the beam.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaydi A. Aguirrechea whose telephone number is 571-272-2018. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAA 6/12/05 DARREN SCHUBERG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800